1. **Team Name: Outsourced™**
2. **Team Leader for this deliverable: Dakota Methvin**
3. **Team Members: Tod Jones, Vince Seely**
4. **Meetings:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Time-date** | **Attendees** | **Agenda** | **Action Items (who will do what)** |
| 1:30 – 9/11 | All | Project Selection | Dakota – discovered web extension script candidates for language porting  Tod – discovered open sources project candidates for issue fixing  Vince – discovered Discord bot candidates for refactoring/feature additions  All – project selection and meeting planning |
| 12:30 – 9/13 | All | Project Proposal and Initialization | Tod/Vince – troubleshooting initial project fork/build  Dakota – proposal documentation  All – group report |

1. **Weekly Time Logs:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Person** | **Total Time in minutes** | **Tasks** |
| Tod Jones | 150 | Project selection, initialization, group report |
| Vince Seely | 150 | Project selection, initialization, group report |
| Dakota Methvin | 193 | Project selection, proposal, group report |
| **Total Time:** | 493 |  |

1. **Issues:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue Number** | **Discovery Date** | **Resolution Date ( Est. – Act. )** | **Responsible Person** | **Description ( Prob / Resolution )** |
| 001 | 9/11 | EST: 9/13  ACT: In progress | Vince | Dependency issue in existing codebase (.NET core 2.1 vs lab-available 2.0) |

1. **Files and their locations:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Filename** | **Location** | **Contents** |
| the-godfather repository | https://github.com/VinceSeely/the-godfather | Forked project from original source |

1. **Plans for Coming Week:**

* **Formalize individual contribution plans**
* **Begin design of new features**
* **Begin identification of refactor-able sections**
* **Deliverable creation**

1. **Comments:**

**Engineer 1:** *Tod Jones*

In our first meeting I looked up and found some open source projects that can be worked on, and listened to Dakota’s and Vince’s suggestions. After gathering more information, we settled on the Discord bot due to the complexity and modularity. In the second meeting I worked on trying to initialize and getting the Godfather project to run. I learned a little more about the program dependencies and problems with having our Visual Studio version being outdated.

**Engineer 2:** *Vince Seely*

During our first meeting I helped select the project and had brought several projects that I had looked at prior to this to the group to look at. After doing this and we had selected a Git project to use I worked on making sure we knew how we would be able to actually use the project and make sure we could get it to build. This required figuring out what would be needed to go form .NET Core 2.1 to .NET Core 2.0 as the campus computers do not have 2.1 installed. I also called ITS to try and get the computers updated. To have 2.1 installed so that future development could be done using the original repositories dot net core framework. After doing this I then wrote my expectations into the deliverable document and wrote this paragraph.

**Engineer 3:** *Dakota Methvin*

For our first meeting, I looked for a small project on GitHub that could be ported to a new language. I figured that the complexity of porting would not be too high, but would allow for extensive regression testing to ensure we had encapsulated all the original functionality. While this would be a fitting challenge, Vince’s suggestion of a Discord bot was better. During the second meeting, I wrote the project proposal and prepared the group report. Thanks to Vince’s previous knowledge of Discord bots, I have begun to learn a bit about how they are traditionally developed to encapsulate external services.